



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/104,947	06/25/98	FORBORD		K	116	9.12-0314	
ROBERT M ANGUS		LM51/1122	一		EXAMINER		
		tem 1°10 m² da / da da da da da		KORZUCH,W			
KINNEY & LANGE SUITE 1500				ART UN	IT I	PAPER NUMBER	
525 FOURTH A		1		2754			
MINNEAPOLIS	MN 55415			DATE MAIL		/22/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/104,947

Applicant(s)

Kent Forbord

Office Action Summary

Examiner

William Korzuch

Group Art Unit 2754



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 14, 1999					
☐ This action is FINAL .					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is so is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fails application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). External CFR 1.136(a).	ure to respond within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s)					
Claim(s)	•				
Claim(s)					
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	wing Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	ejected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗔 approved 🖂 disapproved.				
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copie	es of the priority documents have been				
received.					
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial	Number)				
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from	the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	er No(s):				
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	•				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC	D-948				
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
	0.4 TUT TOU OWING 24050				
SEE OFFICE ACTION (ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES				

Art Unit: 2754

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A which is drawn to a disc drive containing 6 discs and Species B which is drawn to a disc drive containing 12 discs.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-7, 13 and 14 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant

Application/Control Number: 09/104,947 Page 3

Art Unit: 2754

must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to William R. Korzuch whose telephone number is (703) 305-6137.

WILLIAM R. KORZUCH PRIMARY EXAMINER

wrk November 22, 1999